BOARD OF APPORTIONMENT PUBLIC HEARING FORREST CITY

TUESDAY, JULY 24, 2001

---0---

East Arkansas Community College Lecture Hall 1700 Newcastle Road Forrest City, Arkansas

---0---

COURT REPORTER:

DONNA K. PETRE, CCR
Petre's Stenograph Service
Post Office Box 1027
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-1027

1	* * * * *
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	* * * *
4	TUESDAY, JULY 24, 2001
5	6:10 p.m.
6	* * * * *
7	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Well, I want
8	to welcome everybody for being here, and thank
9	everybody for being here, and if there are any
10	folks out in the hallway, if you don't mind
11	please asking them to come in. As chairman for
12	this meeting of the Apportionment Board, I
13	officially now call the meeting to order.
14	Let me first send the apologies of
15	the Governor to you. His wife had a
16	hysterectomy yesterday, and apparently
17	everything went well, but they were waiting on
18	results from some lab work to come back today.
19	So, after our meeting this morning in Beebe,
20	he went back to Little Rock, and then Sharon
21	and I went to Jonesboro and now here in Forrest
22	City. And it is great to be here.
23	We want to thank all you all for being
24	here. This is a process that is really vitally
25	important to our democracy. It is truly the

essence of our government that we should all be represented at the state level. And that is what this is all about. Arkansas is the only state in the union who does apportionment this way. Every state does it in some way or another, but Arkansas is the only one that does it this way. Most use the legislature, some have a special commission.

But under the Arkansas Constitution,
the Governor, who is the chief executive
officer, the Secretary of State, who is the
chief elections officer, and the Attorney
General, who is the chief legal officer for the
state, all sit down after the census and they
redraw all the state House and Senate
districts.

There are some housekeeping details
we need to address before we get under way. The
format will be, Sharon and I will make some
opening comments. And really, what we are here
for is to listen, and we would invite your
comments. Anyone who has anything to say,
please feel free to get up and say it.
Probably what we will ask you to do is get by
this microphone maybe here, there seems to be

another one over there, but for right now, let's say this microphone here. (Indicating.)

Please identify yourself for the record, because we have a court reporter here who is taking down every word that is said today. This is an official proceeding of the state government, State of Arkansas. So, please state your name, preferably where you are from, for the record so that we will have a record of that.

One thing I need to emphasize, and
I know Sharon will, too, is what you see
here are two maps, Senate draft, House draft.
The important word there is "draft". These are
not engraved in stone. There is nothing about
these that isn't on the table and subject to
change. But what our staffs have done, and we
need to take our hats off to the staff. A lot
of you all have talked to the staff. I see
three or four people out here who before the
meeting told me they have talked with the staff
of the Apportionment Board or our individual
staff.

And what the staff has done is, they have taken all the information, all the suggestions

they could, they tried to put it through their filter, and Butch Reeves in just a moment will share some of the criteria that we considered in drawing these maps. But they put it down, and finally they just had to put on it paper.

And we totally understand that there will be some people as we go through this 11 city tour and this regional tour all over the State of Arkansas, we completely understand that there will be some people who would like to see some changes. And we fully anticipate some people here who will want to see some changes, and for very good reasons. So, we are here to listen, to try to look at your suggestions, and look at our maps, and you will see, Sharon and I have maps, spread out all over the tables here.

But one thing we put up here for you, just so you will know, is we put the Senate draft as it is now, the draft, for the proposed draft, but under it, we put the existing system that we have right now in place, the one that has been in place for the last ten years. The same with the House. You see the color map is the 2001 proposal, and again, draft, and down here,

you see what was in place for all of the 1990s, and the election 2000. So, for those of you who are interested, you will see how things have changed.

There is one thing that you need to understand and keep in mind. And that is that the population in Arkansas has shifted. And you can see this as compared on these sets of maps. But basically, the growth in Arkansas' population in the last ten years has been in Central Arkansas. This is a generality, but it has been, generally speaking, in Central Arkansas and in the north and western part of Arkansas.

And so, what that means is, even though some counties over here didn't grow at all, or maybe some few shrunk in population, some grew quite a bit, but the overall state average is 13.8 percent -- 13.7 percent overall growth. So, if you stayed the same, relatively speaking, that is like shrinking 13 percent, if that makes sense. And so, what you will see, as reflected in these maps, is in order to get the population in South and East Arkansas, and some other parts of the state, but in South and

East Arkansas, generally, what you see is that the legislative districts have to grow in size, they have to expand to get more population so they can meet the numbers that they need to meet.

And to let you know, the numbers for a House district, the optimal number is 26,734. The optimal number for a Senate district is 76,383. So, what you are seeing here, compared to this map, is larger districts geographically, and what you are seeing in Central and really in Northwest Arkansas are smaller districts geographically, because their population has gotten denser.

What I would like to do is turn it over to Sharon for a few comments, and then we will proceed with our meeting.

SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: Well,
Mark, since you said everything that I usually
say, I get to tell your story. This is a story
that Mark has told. One of the reasons that we
do this is because we feel that it is very
important to democracy and very important to
the state that we have your input. And Mark
had received just about as much input as he

could handle one day. So, he thought he would call one of the senators and play a little trick on him.

And so, he said, "Senator, I just want to let you know that we have done away with your district, you don't have a district anymore.

Your colleague to the east, he wanted that part, one part of it, and your colleague to the west wanted the other half. So, we took care of that." So, the senator said, "Well, Mark, let me tell you about my colleague to the east. He is a shyster, his father was before him, always has, always will be. And that guy to the west, well, he is just there to line his own pockets. He never goes to his meetings, and I am the one that has to vote for him most of the time."

Well, at this point, Mark thinks, "Oh,

I think this conversation has gone a little bit
too far." "Senator, Senator, I am sorry, I was
only kidding, it was a joke, just a joke." He
said, "Well, Mark, I don't understand how you
could let me talk about my two best friends
that way." So, see, this time, I get the
laugh.

1 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: That is 2 good, that is good.

SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: So, anyway, really, we want you to know that this is a draft plan. The lines are not drawn in concrete, and we anticipate changes in them. I think it is good for our state that we had an increase in growth. But as Mark explained, it created some challenges, particularly in areas of the state that lost population, because the increase for a Senate district was about -- the ideal Senate district was about 9,300, and the ideal House district had to grow by about 3,200 people. So, you can see, if you have lost population, how challenging that could be.

We -- I want to commend the staff who have worked very hard. When they sat down to draw lines, staff from all three offices were together. Tonight, I am just going to go in the order that they are sitting here. Larry Crane from Mark's office, Tim Humphries from my office, and Butch Reeves from the Governor's office. And there were others that you have probably talked to and have seen. And in fact,

Greg Fielding was here tonight.

But they have always worked together on drawing the lines. It has been a very good system, we have been able to come forward with one plan. And I don't anticipate that changing, based on the time line that we are looking at. And the time line that we are looking at is to have a meeting of the Board of Apportionment and have a plan filed by Labor Day. Pretty aggressive, but that is what we are looking at right now.

So, we feel that you are a very important part of this. We want you to be aware that when you look at this plan, it is not a two-year plan, it is not a four-year plan, it is a ten-year plan. And you need to be looking out for the best interest of your community. And that is what we are looking to hear from you tonight, what you think is the best way to follow the one person, one vote, and give you appropriate representation for the next ten years. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PRYOR: Thank you.

The way we will proceed here is, we will

let Butch Reeves, the Governor's legal counsel,

and one of the staff members of
the Apportionment Board to explain some of the
criteria that we use and some of the process
and some of those details. And then, Butch will
open the floor for comments. And like I said,
if you could please remember to identify
yourself for the court reporter, that would be
great. So, Butch?

MR. OLAN REEVES: This process really began in the fall. The staff, we took a tour of the state and went to 13 places, heard comments before we had ever gotten any numbers or drawn any maps. We got the numbers in March, we started probably the third week of April with the computer system, and we have been at it every since. These maps you see were finalized July 2nd, it took us a while to get them printed, big plotter printers takes a while. And that is the process we got through.

The United States Constitution says in this process, we should do one person, one vote, as the federal courts and U.S. Supreme Court have interpreted it. That is why 76,383 for the Senate and 26,734 for the House, as close to those numbers as possible, as to

guarantee one person, one vote.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Constitutionally, you are allowed about a five percent leeway on the top end and five percent on the bottom end. So, if you are on the House side, 25,000 something is okay and 27,000 something is okay, as long as you are within that range. But we tried to stick to the actual numbers as much as possible.

The Arkansas Constitution just says that the Board can't make a decision arbitrarily. That means what guidelines did they use? As long as they have guidelines, it is not arbitrary. And the guidelines are real -- pretty much set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in these types of cases. And those are, compactness. If you can get a district compact, you should do so. For instance, Northwest Arkansas, because the people are concentrated, you can have a compact district. Now, that doesn't mean that a Senate district or a House district over here isn't compact simply because it is bigger, it is as compact as you can get it to get the 26,734 in the district.

Contiguity, that just means they touch.

Preservation of political subdivisions, such as cities and counties. We tried our best to keep cities and counties whole, unless you were bigger than 26,734, and we had to split you. Some cities are split for other reasons, not just numbers. The other thing we tried to do is preservation of communities of interest. For instance, does this town have anything in common with this town if we lump them together in a Senate district? That was one consideration we tried. What does this county and this county and this county have in common, and would they fit together? That is something else we looked at.

The preservation of the cores of existing districts, which takes into account incumbents. That is something that the courts have said you can take into account. How does the district look now, how many people do they need, and how do we make that happen, Senate and House size? It wasn't always possible to keep the districts looking like they did. Term limits helped us square up some districts that looked kind of convoluted. And we tried to do that, if possible.

The other thing is compliance with Section
Two of the Voting Rights Act is a consideration
that the Board has to take into account and
should take into account in drawing the
districts. That is really where we started.
There wasn't any other criteria than that, and
we started drawing the districts. And
honestly, once you are on the computer and you
start drawing, you kind of lose a blind eye
sometimes to where you are and you have to zoom
out and say, "What county am I even in," in
getting the precincts. We did it based on the
precinct level, the precincts as reported by
your clerk to the U.S. Census Bureau, that is
the numbers we had to use.

So, with that in mind, we would like to hear your comments about what you like, what you don't like. There are two suggested changes that were handed out, one on the House side and one on the Senate side. Those are not in these plans, they were suggested after the plans were drawn up as proposals for the Board to look at. That doesn't mean we will look at those, that doesn't mean they will be part of the plan, we are just going to consider them.

So, if you would go to that mike, who 1 wants to be first? Okay. Go ahead. And so, 2 if someone wants to follow him and go ahead and 3 move to that side of the room and line up, it 4 5 would make the process a lot smoother and faster. 6 7 MR. FRANK BARTON: My name is Frank 8 Barton, I am the city clerk and treasurer from 9 Marion, Arkansas. There might have been two 10 suggestions over here, now there are going to be three. 11 12 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 13 MR. FRANK BARTON: So, I would like to talk about Senate Districts 16 and 17, the 1415 concern that I had when I looked at it. And I have got some -- I will just talk about the 16 17 things that I do not like about them. 18 will try and point from here, and if I have to, I will move up and give it. And then I have 19 got a suggestion that will make 16 and 17 much 20 21 cleaner for everybody involved. 22 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 23 MR. FRANK BARTON: The first thing 24 about 16 and 17, what it does to Crittenden

County, it cuts Crittenden County in half. It

25

is the only county with a population
over 35,000 in the state that this is done to.

It totally ignores the importance of
Crittenden County. It was the only county in
the delta that had an increase in population in
the last ten years. It has got the lowest
unemployment in the delta. It ignores the
importance of West Memphis being the 12th
largest city in the state. It cuts West
Memphis basically in half. And I see no rhyme
or reason why this has to be done.

It does -- I think this plan ignores traditional political subdivisions. It cuts Crittenden County in half, St. Francis County in half, and Lee County in half. And it doesn't have to be done that way. Those counties could remain intact. It is pretty convoluted how it is done, how it is drawn around West Memphis and it goes up into Crittenden County and it comes out and it looks like it takes in part of St. Francis. And it is a nightmare for the county clerks to keep up with where the voting lines are.

And I know what happens in Crittenden County as it is now. People come to vote and

they don't know what senator they are supposed to go vote for. They think they are going to vote for one, and come to the line at the poll and they find it is somebody else, and they become disenfranchised and they leave and they don't vote because of that. But the lines are bizarrely drawn, there is no relevant sense or purpose about it. And in my opinion, it decreases communities of interest by splitting these three important counties in the delta.

Now, I am not a cartographer, as people that followed my directions over here today would probably attest to, but I am a numbers cruncher and I can count. And you can take Crittenden County in its entirety, Cross County in its entirety, and part of Woodruff County and make a Senate district out of it. And you turn around and take St. Francis in its entirety, Lee County in its entirety, Monroe County in its entirety, and that part of Phillips County that you have already got there and make a Senate district out of that.

I have got numbers here, and I will pass them out and I will leave them up here, as

well. What that will do, instead of splitting four counties, you will only split two,
Phillips, which is already split with the
Helena, West Helena area, and Woodruff County,
which only has 8,741 people, and it is not
going to be a majority in any district that it
is involved in. You will keep Crittenden, St.
Francis, and Lee Counties completely intact.
You will keep Forrest City and West Memphis
completely intact and in their counties.

You will preserve the black majority district that it looks like 16 was intended to do. That black majority district will still be there. It is reasonably and sensibly drawn, it is well-defined and has understandable lines. It won't affect any other Senate district. All we are talking about is 16 and 17, it doesn't do anything with anybody else around there. It is contiguous, the lines are drawn along existing political boundaries, it increases communities of interest, and it has compactness, and it is something that could be done. And I would, you know, urge the Board to look at it.

And I will give you my numbers that I have

1	counted up, and if you have got any questions
2	about it, I will be happy to discuss it.
3	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thanks you.
4	MR. OLAN REEVES: Who wants to be next?
5	MS. EDA CLAIRE SLABAUGH: My name is
6	Eda Claire Slabaugh, and I am the mayor of
7	Parkin in Cross County. I would just like to
8	appeal to you to please keep Parkin in Cross
9	County. Parkin is a 1,600 populated town, we
10	are across Crowley's Ridge, we have always been
11	a part of Cross County in every way. We are a
12	very close-knit county. In fact, presently, we
13	are working to be an ace county. I just appeal
14	to you I don't have anything against the
15	other districts or anything, but I would just
16	like to see Parkin stay with Cross County.
17	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: You are
18	talking about on the House side?
19	MR. OLAN REEVES: You are talking about
20	the House district, right?
21	MS. EDA CLAIRE SLABAUGH: That is right.
22	Thanks.
23	MR. OLAN REEVES: Thank you. Who wants to
24	be next? Don't be bashful.
25	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: If you all

want to, just come on down and line up.

MR. OLAN REEVES: Yeah. If you want to come on down and get in line, that will be certainly appropriate.

MR. JOHN GRIFFEE: I am John Griffee, election commissioner of Crittenden County. I want to help her out. She wants Parkin in Cross County and I want Parkin in Cross County, because I believe that the -- and I am talking about House seats, strictly House seats.

MR. OLAN REEVES: Right.

MR. JOHN GRIFFEE: Crittenden County is the ideal population to have two intact House seats in that county. If you will check the figures, you will see I am right. West Memphis is the right size to have a House member solely representing West Memphis. The rest of the county could represent the other two. That would be two seats in the growing area of the delta at this time. Also, it appears, as you check down the line on the House seats, otherwise, if you did do the two Houses in Crittenden County, then that part of Cross County would be available for that House

1 seat over there.

Now, when we start, though, on how the rest of the area is lined up after you take those two, you have got, to me, it is an obvious gerrymandering, because you start up in the southern part of Mississippi County with a district that is 61 percent minority, the next district down below it is 71 percent minority, the next district, which is 63, and in Crittenden County, it is 77 percent white. The next district down in Lee County is 63 percent minority, and the last county, in Phillips, it is 59 percent minority. That, to me is class gerrymandering.

MR. DODD DAGGETT: Attorney General Pryor, Secretary Priest, my name is Dodd Daggett, and I am an attorney from Marianna. And I spoke with your committee several months ago when you were in Helena. The thing that concerns me is what this gentleman just spoke about, and that is racial gerrymandering. As I look at the numbers and try to crunch them and tell something about them, it appears that you have taken Senate District 16, and you have placed that 32 percent white district.

If you have these numbers at your computer, sir, would you kick those up on the Board? Can you do that with your computer?
Can you just give me the breakdown, for example, in Senate District 16, what is your breakdown of black and white, please? My calculations led me to believe in Senate 16, as you have drawn it, you had 32 percent white, 65 percent black, which, and three percent other.

MR. REEVES: That is right.

MR. DODD DAGGETT: There is a man shaking

MR. DODD DAGGETT: There is a man shaking his head. That is all right. Fine. It is my understanding that the Supreme Courts -- our Supreme Court, the United States Supreme Court, has had about ten cases in the last year.

MR. OLAN REEVES: (Indicated yes.)

MR. DODD DAGGETT: You are shaking your head like I hope you have read these things. And basically, they are telling you that if you draw these lines with race as a factor, you are going to get sued. Does everybody understand that? The secretary is not shaking her head either way. I mean, that is --

1	SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: I
2	am listening, Mr. Daggett.
3	MR. DODD DAGGETT: Very good. I am
4	glad you are, because I can't tell that you are
5	listening to me when I look at the things you
6	all have drawn. As I look at them, it appears
7	that you are deliberately drawing districts to
8	create minority districts. Is that what you
9	are
10	doing?
11	SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: In some
12	cases.
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Well, we are
14	trying to comply with the law.
15	MR. DODD DAGGETT: Well, you understand
16	the law, and the case is I think I have
17	given it to all of your assistants one other
18	time.
19	MR. OLAN REEVES: (Indicated yes.)
20	MR. DODD DAGGETT: I am getting another
21	head shake over there, "Yes." Is that if you
22	use race as the predominant factor for drawing
23	these lines, you are violating the law. And it
24	seems to me when I look at the figures that we
25	have, when I look at, for example, Crittenden

1	County, it says it is basically 50 percent
2	white, 47 percent black. I look at St. Francis
3	County, it says it is 48 percent white, 49
4	percent black. Lee County appears to be 41
5	percent white, 75 percent black.
6	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: No.
7	MR. DODD DAGGETT: Is that wrong?
8	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: The numbers don't
9	add up.
10	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: Where is that other
11	25 percent of the population?
12	MR. DODD DAGGETT: That other 25 percent?
13	All right. Well, let's go back and let's
14	look. I got these off the computer. Okay. I
15	came up with, in Lee County, 41 percent white,
16	57 percent black.
17	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: That is better.
18	MR. DODD DAGGETT: And there are some
19	others thrown in there. Phillips County, I
20	have got 39 percent white, 59 percent black.
21	But the problem that concerns me, the problem
22	that concerns me, if my math is correct,
23	is that you have drawn your Senate seat so that
24	it is deliberately drawn 65 percent black. And
25	there is nowhere near that figure doesn't

reach anywhere you try. Now, my question to you is, why did you do that?

are here today to listen. I mean, we are trying to comply with all the laws, the Voting Rights Act, we are trying to comply with all of the U.S. Supreme Court decisions which you have mentioned. I don't know if you mentioned the most recent one, the one that came out just a few months ago. You know, we are talking about that you could consider race and, you know, I think -- I am not sure that we, as the Apportionment Board, agree with your interpretation of the law.

But you know, we will just have to see. I mean, we are going to do the best that we can. We are going to try to take everybody's considerations, everybody's thoughts, and we are going to try to take everybody -- everybody's ideas, sit down, and try to draw these things the best we can, and hope we do the right thing for the state.

MR. LARRY CRANE: Mr. Chairman, I don't believe that Mr. Daggett understands that this is the staff's recommendation. The Board

has not made any decisions yet at all. 1 MR. DODD DAGGETT: That is excellent. I am 2 delighted to hear that. And if the three 3 elected officials that actually get to make the 4 5 decision, the Arkansas Law Review, and your assistant has it, I know I gave it to him, an 6 7 excellent article that deals with everything 8 about it. I understand there is a new case out 9 from the Supreme Court. But nevertheless --10 I will use your quote. In the first 11 meeting that you held, I think the Governor was 12 addressing the group and you made a statement 13 that you wanted to do basically what was fair, and on two occasions you said, "We are going to 1415 follow the law." 16 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 17 MR. DODD DAGGETT: That was your 18 instructions to your staff and everyone else's, "Let's follow the law." 19 20 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Right. 21 MR. DODD DAGGETT: Well, the law seems to 22 be, "Do not racially gerrymand." I don't think 23 any of us have any problem with you drawing a 24 district by using, say, all of Lee -- look at 25 Phillips County, for example, your House draft

that you have, that is an excellent district. 1 2 Everyone in Phillips County that wants to vote 3 is going to get to vote and no one can complain that the lines after been changed because of 4 5 race in any way. 6 It appears that what you have over 7 here, you have -- or your staff, your 8 staff, has carved out Forrest City for some reason. And on the House -- on the Senate 9 10 seat, you have gone up to the community of West 11 Memphis, and you have gone up and did a totally 12 black community, or 75 percent community, you 13 have done that. All I am saying is, avoid that 14 if you can. No one wants a litigation, no 15 one wants a lawsuit. If you will read the law, I think you will find that you are not supposed 16 17 to do that, and we would ask that you please 18 not do that. 19 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: All right. 20 MR. DODD DAGGETT: All right. Thank 21 you for your time. 22 MR. BILLY CLAY: I am kind of a short guy 23 myself. 24 I hope this doesn't UNIDENTIFIED MAN: fall. 25

1	MR. BILLY CLAY: I am Billy Clay, I am the
2	mayor of the City of Brinkley, I have served 16
3	years on our Quorum Court. And I tell you, I
4	like both plans, primarily it is purely out of
5	selfishness. Monroe County has
6	been discriminated against for about 30 years,
7	since we got away from our one whole unit.
8	Monroe County presently is being divided three
9	ways in the House, and that has been totally
10	unfair for our county.
11	On the Senate side, I made that
12	race, Kevin and I did many years ago,
13	nine counties, two full, seven parcels,
14	impossible. I traveled from Brinkley
15	to McGehee, Arkansas. It took me a day
16	and a half just to file. So, I would
17	just request that you all humbly consider
18	leaving Monroe County intact with any
19	plans that you use. Thank you.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: In the
21	draft, it is?
22	MR. BILLY CLAY: Yes.
23	MR. OLAN REEVES: On both.
24	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: It is in
25	both drafts.

1	MR. BILLY CLAY: Thank you.
2	MR. OLAN REEVES: Thank you. Anybody
3	else?
4	MR. REGINALD ROBERTSON: My name
5	is Reginald Robertson from Crittenden County,
6	Arkansas. And for the record, I am an
7	African-American, and for the record, I would
8	like to report that. Part of the question I
9	want to deal with is on the plan, I see some
10	problem areas. I understand from the
11	Constitution I am a lawyer, too, just like
12	Daddy is. I have been doing Civil Rights Law
13	for the past 15 years, so, I more familiar with
14	some kind of laws.
15	The basic issues of the Constitution to be
16	followed is incumbency, compactness, as that
17	man said over there, economic factors. Now,
18	the Supreme Court, in the Kevin Rule (phonic)
19	decision said you have got a right to consider
20	race as one factor, as long as that is not your
21	only factor. And so long as you consider race,
22	and we have got some we have got some Hunt
23	cases and some Jeffers cases, so those are the
24	factors, as well as decisions.
25	There are you have got the incumbency,

you have to back to the incumbency problems
there, where you see all of these issues.
I don't particularly like the plan, either.
But I think those areas have been covered
by the plan there. I see a problem trying the
compactness in -- where is that at -- in the
Senate district, well, across from St. -across from Phillips County going to Jefferson
County, where you were talking about
common interests of them people there.

I believe there is a difference -you are talking about different folks. There
are two or three different radio stations
to broadcast the offices, and you are
talking about different people running a race
like that. I think that -- that needs to
be drawn a lot easier, closer than what it is
down right there. And I will submit a plan to
you all before you all close down. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Okay.

If you or anyone else has a plan or, you know, whatever, whether it is writing or just a map with some lines, however you want to do it, please either get it to us today or the court reporter today, or feel free to mail it

to us or E-mail it to us, you know, whatever is convenient. Because we are certainly looking for that type of input.

MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: Good evening. My name is Joseph L. Perry, Jr., I am a resident of Lee County, I was a plaintiff in the Jeffers lawsuit, so I will speak from the Jeffers lawsuit and from the fact that I am a black representative of Lee County.

The first concern I have is in the

House district in the fact that -- I agree with
the gentleman, I think, from Marion in the

House, St. Francis be in Phillips County -well, St. Francis and Crittenden County is
split, and Lee County, for the last three
plans -- or I will say the two plans out of

Jeffers, the first one that was adopted, and
then in 1992, it was re-adopted, because in

1990, I was in a majority black district. In

1992, I ended up in a majority white district.

And in both plans, Lee County was split.

Now, the problem is, in Lee County,
you have a rural population that votes one way,
and you have a city population that
historically votes different. And the eastern

1	half of Lee County is a depopulated area. So,
2	it doesn't matter where you put the eastern
3	half of Lee County. East of Langston River,
4	because there is less than 500 people in the
5	whole area. And that is not including the
6	present Brickeys prison, which I am told by the
7	mayor that the prison populations are counted
8	for voting populations.
9	I needed to ask the committee a question.
10	Are the prison population counted in these
11	plans, because they are disenfranchised and
12	can't vote for anybody.
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Yes. Let's
14	let Tim answer that, but the answer is yes.
15	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: Yes. It is sort of a
16	sliding scale. And in the districts of this
17	size, the court have considered the issue
18	upheld that you should include prison
19	populations.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Right.
21	MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: Well, is any
22	variance given to the fact that those
23	people can't vote any kind of way?
24	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: I think that is
25	what

MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: The second they are voting age population, and you can't register them to vote, it is an illusion when it comes to saying that a district has so many minority voters when, one, the people who are in prison are not even residents of the county they are housed in, there is no continuity in the sense that if you count that person today, ADC, the Arkansas Department of Corrections, can move that person to Cummins, Van Nuys, Texarkana tomorrow.

I mean, we have no control over how long a person is in our county or who they are. But to say that they are part of the minority population, and they can't even register to vote, is an illusion when it comes to voting plans. If that is the case, in Lee County, in some of your smaller districts, like Quorum Court districts, there probably is enough people at Brickeys to have their own JP district in the prison. You understand what I am saying?

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: See, now, well, listen to what Tim said. He is talking about a sliding scale.

1	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: That is why it is
2	a sliding scale. If you have got a small
3	district, in Lee County your JP districts are
4	going to be about 1,500 people.
5	MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: That is right.
6	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: Then you would not
7	count them. But in districts this size,
8	26,000, where they are sort of absorbed in the
9	overall population, the courts that
10	have considered the issue have held that
11	you should count them in that.
12	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Well,
13	I mean, all we are trying do is follow
14	that law.
15	MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: I understand that.
16	And all I am saying is, you
17	asked for comments, and I am voicing my
18	comments that I don't agree with counting the
19	prison population as part of the voting
20	population. Now, I was told that prison
21	population was going to be counted for economic
22	reasons, that is not the reason. That is a
23	completely different issue, I don't have any
24	problem with that. But for the voting issue, I
25	disagree that prison population should be

1 counted at all.

Second thing, I don't think that Lee and St. Francis Counties should be split.

If they are split, one of the things that I find when you have counties who are decreasing in population, Lee County has only 12,000 people. I don't see any reason why Lee County can't be a solid county in whatever district you put it in, as long as -- my concern is, I differ with Attorney Daggett in terms of the law.

The State of Arkansas, if I understand, and you can correct me, is still under the Jeffers lawsuit. I mean, in terms of the Jeffers lawsuit, what I am saying, it hasn't been overturned, so it is still law. Now, if it gets overturned, I can understand that it is not law. But I am saying, it is law until somebody challenges it and says it is not valid anymore.

And the only thing I am saying there is, this Board has a responsibility of working with certain numbers to ensure certain minority districts. I am not concerned about which minority district I am in. But I have a

concern on how the districts are drawn. In Lee County, in the Senate draft, Oak Forest, Richland, Spring Creek, Texas, and Hampton are either majority black townships -- occasionally Oak Forest has voted to report every black relevant candidate that has ever ran in Lee County. What I noticed in the Senate district plan, the four rural black majority townships in Western Lee County are put into 17.

Now, looking at your figure, 16 is a 65 percent black majority district, 17, I think, is a 25 or 35 percent minority, it is a majority white district. So, what you did in Lee County, you effectively split the county, took the rural majority population of blacks and put them in a white district. You took the metropolitan area, which is maybe around the 75 percent that he didn't -- he couldn't add up, it is a misinformation that has been published in the paper that says that Lee County is 75 percent black, when the city around it is 75 percent black. That is where the 75 percent comes from.

So, Lee County is 57 percent majority

black, so there is no reason that half the black rural population should be put in the white district under any circumstance, because the town is majority black. My concerns, like I said, is how your plan is drawn as it affects the counties within 16 and 17 in Lee County, how 52 and 51 is drawn in the House district. And I am not in contesting the numbers, I am just talking about where the lines are.

And I agree with some of the other comments, that some of these counties -I don't understand, over here in the delta, and I agree with some of these other people, these counties are losing population that you all are splitting. When you have got 12,000 people in a county, you can put them in any district, because it is smaller than the district. Now, if it was 35,000 people in the county, that tells me mathematically, you have got to split it. But when you have got dwindling population there, it is easier to compact smaller populations into one district than to split them, because all of them are smaller than your ideal numbers.

A couple of other comments. You do not have all of the districts displayed, and what I am saying now, and I think I mentioned it to your staff person, he showed me on computer, but to bring some districts and display them and not display them all, I don't understand the rationale. Your maps that is displayed don't have any numbers on them.

So, that doesn't tell us anything, we are just looking at a geographical area. And you all draw districts on numbers, basically. I mean, I understand that geographic comes in, but the most important thing is the numbers, from one man, one vote, and the racial and all of that. He didn't have a comparative on his computer of the old districts and the new districts. That kind of gives a person an idea. He knows where he has been in the last ten years, if he can see what the proposed plan does to him, he kind of can look at it and see whether or not it is, you know, acceptable or not.

And you are saying we can submit a plan, but I would like to know, where can a layman get these figures for the census?

Because for some reason, when I asked the county clerks for the people, they say they don't have any minor breakdowns. When I am saying a minor breakdown, you have got to have a township number, or simple numeration districts figures to do a plan. And so far, I haven't seen any official figures available from anybody in the county, you know, locally. And so, I am just saying, if we are going to submit a plan, we need to have those figures available locally.

And one other district, since I was a person in the Jeffers lawsuit, Senate District Number Five, I don't live there, but what concerns me is, that district crosses two rivers. And basically, you have got three, I know at least three different types of communities involved in one Senate district, and it is kind of like the gentleman said about driving all day just to file.

The people in Phillips County have, really, very little in common with the people west of the White River. The people between the White River and the Arkansas River, I question what they have got to do with the

people that live in Pine Bluff, which is south of the Arkansas River. Do you understand what I am saying? You cross the Arkansas River that takes in Pine Bluff, which is a metropolitan area, then you have got that area of Arkansas County between the two rivers, then you have got Phillips County, which is east of the White River. And it is kind of like a situation down in Snow Lake. Snow Lake is in Desha County, I think, and them people have to drive all the way back up to DeWitt to go down to the county seat.

So, you understand what I am saying, it kind of puts a difficulty on people crossing three rivers sometimes. And if the senator is from Pine Bluff, that means you have got to go halfway across the state just to talk about a problem. That is all I am saying, and I am saying that just as a comment. I don't live in that district. But to cross two rivers to draw up districts seems odd to me. Thank you.

MR. LEO CHITMAN: Good evening. My
name is Leo Chitman. To the Reapportionment
Committee, I am a citizen of West Memphis,
Arkansas in Crittenden County. I have written

to the Apportionment Board, and I want to say in support of Attorney Reginald Robertson, who is representing Crittenden County, that I support the kind of observation he has made, and to the extent I, too, was a part of the 1990, when we were talking about the lawsuit, to that extent, as far as districts are concerned.

I want to be registered and on record that
I am present. I want to have a citizen's
participation for this. And I had mentioned to
the extent the with regards to Congressional
districts, both Senate and House, the state
districts, both House and Senate, as well as
other districts that you may not have, which
has to do with Justices of the Peace,
School Board districts, and City Council Boards
and precincts. That may not affect you, but we
are concerned, because we are talking about
voting rights, one man, one vote, and so on.

So, I want to say to you, I want to be on record, and we will be looking at those things much further. I want to express to the Secretary to the extent that I did receive from the Attorney General's office correspondence to

the extent that I had questions. The turn-around time was so short, it affects some of us that don't have the means or the conveniences to do those things. Really, in regard, I asked about the computer program, how are we able to do it, how are we to get this information? There were comments about that.

But I think that perhaps economically and cost-wise, you talk about rights to do this, but it also eludes the person that does not have the means to do it. So, I want to go on record to say we will be looking. And I did ask how many hearings will be had before you adopt the plan? There was some response to that extent. So, my concern is, hopefully, we will continue to have some input and have some concerns.

Thank you very kindly for your attendance, and I shall look closely and hopefully to have some participation. Thank you so much.

MR. MARK SMITH: Good evening. I am Mark
Smith from Marianna, Arkansas, and I am the
chairman of the Lee County Election Commission.
And I would like to echo, I believe what the
majority of people are saying is that leave the

counties and communities together. 1 2 splitting Lee County makes it problematic for ballots for people to know what House they are 3 in, what House district, what Senate district. 4 And, you know, I just fully do not 5 understand why you have to make long districts 6 7 that span multiple communities and divide 8 communities when we don't have very much in 9 common with West Memphis, being in Marianna, 10 Arkansas from a Senate district standpoint. And it is just -- if there is any way that we 11 12 could keep those communities and counties 13 together, we would very much appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1415 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 16 you, Mark. 17 MR. EDDIE SCHIEFFLER: My name is Eddie 18 Schieffler, I am a resident of Phillips County, 19 serve on the Phillips County Quorum Court. 20 I guess I was -- the one thing that I am 21 wondering, Mr. Humphries, if the computer would 22 show in the Senate district, between Five and 23 16, how West Helena and Helena were divided, is 24 there away to show that in details? 25 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Yes.

1 zoom that in.

MR. EDDIE SCHIEFFLER: And, you know, to start off on the right foot, I appreciate the fact that Phillips County is drawn in a one House district. It has been a nightmare as far as ballot variations have been in the last ten years, because you have multiple districts drawn on difference bases, and as staff, I am sure, is well aware, there have been lawsuits about where people live. And when you have to go down a street and decide which side of the block you are on, it is very confusing. So, I guess, by the detail, and it looks like --

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Is that detailed enough?

MR. EDDIE SCHIEFFLER: Okay. Helena, West Helena is in 16. Okay. I could not ever tell that from the maps that I have seen before. I echo the similar comment about Senate District Five, the fact that you are covering two Congressional districts, you are covering four judicial districts, you are dividing parts of four or five counties there.

And, you know, how are the people going to have a senator from Pine Bluff come over to a

fish fry in Marvell or Helena or wherever it may be to talk about issues that they have when that person, as we are citizen legislators has to have a job, can take off work and travel two hours to appear, and to represent the constituents of that area? With the fact that it appears to me that somewhat Five and 23 are protecting incumbency, but with the fact that we have had a Constitutional amendment in applying term limits, that the protection of incumbency would seem to be the public policy of the state is less now because of the fact that the people have spoken overwhelmingly against having no limits on terms.

You know, there may be a period of ten to 20 years from now when we want to reverse what we have voted on on that Constitutional amendment after the legislature has gone through so many green horns that nobody knows what is going on. But right now, protecting incumbency does not seem to be a motivating factor.

And to echo the other comment, the fact of commonality of interest with Phillips County and Jefferson County, crossing over Stuttgart

and parts of Desha and Lincoln County are not there. We are more attuned with Lee County and St. Francis County in our interest. And just to give you an example, the National Weather Service in Memphis met with our Quorum Court, or asked us about coming up with a location to have a -- to cover a gap on their early warning system which exists in Monroe, Phillips, and Lee County.

And so, we got together with those county judges and are coming up with maybe a place to have an early warning system there. But are we going to be able to get somebody from Pine Bluff to come and tell us, "Hey, I will take an interest in that," when Monroe, Phillips, Lee County, St. Francis County, already have those bridges that are there, the community colleges that are there, there are people that travel in Lee County and go to St. Francis and to Phillips College back and forth. But I am not aware of anybody that drives, on a day class that goes to the college in Pine Bluff. And I don't think anybody from Pine Bluff drives to the college in Helena.

So, it really seems to be a stretch, and I

guess I want to ask the question, after the round of hearings a year ago, did anybody come up and say, "Pine Bluff and Helena want to be together," or "Phillips County and Jefferson County want to be together because we share so much in common"? It seems like that after it was all said and done, that the scraps were left and we had to fashion something to put together to say, "Well, we finished the job." But in any of the factors, Mr. Reeves, that you had mentioned earlier, I don't see how they fit together in Senate District Five.

Thank you.

MR. B.T. COOPER: Good afternoon. My name is Reverend B.T. Cooper, I am a citizen of St. Francis County, I am the president of the St. Francis County Ministers Alliance. And I am kind of troubled by some of the things that I have been hearing, and that is, people not having things in common, you know. I think that everybody that go to bed at night, they sleep. When they wake up in the morning, they get up, they eat, they go to work.

And all of the representatives that I have dealt with have had the concerns of all their

constituents, regardless to how poor they were, how wealthy they were. Because, in order to be a representative of the people, then you must have all segments of the community at hand.

And I think that, also, another question that I have is that I agreed with partial movement of various drafts, and because, for one -- now, before then, we had the drafting into the Senate districts and the various appropriate districts, and then people were confused for a while on where they should vote.

But now people basically know where
they are to vote. And so, why would you make a
great drastic change to place voters right back
where they were before the last appropriation?
And I think that is very sensible not to try
to move the lines all over everywhere. And if
and when the drafting and the final bill is
done, I think it would be of a great reliance
to the community and to all of the citizens
if we were to stop trying to do racial
profiling for our own good.

Because, as I listen to people talk,

I hear different things within their speech.

And it troubles me, because I think that the way that the bill has -- the way that the draft has been drawn now is that it was for the good of the various districts. It may be some pros and some cons, but I think in the end that all of us should just sit down and just work these out and not do things for selfish reasons.

And I think that you all are doing an excellent job. And I can't speak for Pine Bluff, because I don't live there. But I can speak for St. Francis County where I live. And I think that our representatives, both senators and representatives, have done a great job in respecting their constituents and working for the betterment of their behalf. And it troubles me when people try to make it seem as though that some are not doing a good job when they are doing a great job. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: I see Ben McGee coming to the microphone.

MR. BEN McGEE: Good evening. It is a pleasure to be with you today. The interesting thing about of all this discussion, we have discussed the Jeffers versus Clinton lawsuit. But the lawsuit that really drives

this is Smith versus Clinton. You remember that one. That is the lawsuit that really drives all of this. And within -- it is interesting, as I sit back and listen to this discussion, it reminds me of 1980 when we met, and we came to the reapportionment hearing, when every -- when the majority of the people there were bitterly opposed to changing these multi-member districts. Some of the same people are in the room tonight. It is kind of interesting.

But the thing that has driven this
has been the fact that we have been -- it has
difficult for everybody to elect
representatives and senators of their choice.
And let me tell you, it is important for young
people to be able to elect somebody that looks
like them. It is important, it is very
important. So, when people say, "Let's don't do
it this way," and "Let's do it another way,"
let me remind you of something.

In the last election, with Joe Harris
running for the Senate, a very competent
representative who had done an excellent job,
the people throughout that district, he ran in

a majority white district, the people throughout that district voted in the same manner they voted in 1980. The white population in the district voted almost 90 percent against Joe Harris, the black population voted almost 90 percent for Joe Harris.

So, I think it is important that you understand that hasn't much changed over here when people come to voting, they still vote along racial lines. It is a sad truth that we have over here. And if we intend to be fair about the representation, you do have to consider drawing lines. Race is a factor and commonality is a factor. For instance, Turrell and Gilmore, it is unbelievable that anyone who is drawing a line today would consider leaving Turrell and Gilmore out of the House district in Crittenden County.

And I will tell you why. The people in Turrell and Gilmore do not go to Blytheville or Osceola for the doctor, they do not go to Blytheville to bank, they do not go to Blytheville to receive -- to buy medical prescriptions. All their telephone,

everything, works towards West Memphis and Marion.

So, in that House district, those people should be with the people that they have something in common with socially -- and this is part of the Supreme Court decision, socially, culturally, educationally. Those things should be considered. Turrell and Gilmore School District is in Crittenden County, the -- all the Quorum Court members come to Marion for meetings. They don't go to Mississippi County. So, it is unthinkable that those two towns should not be added to the majority black House district and include those areas. It is unthinkable that they do not include the rest of the county in the Senate district.

I think Reginald probably has presented a plan like that. And before I take my seat, I want to remind you of one thing, the same people who filed those lawsuits, who brought about these changes, have been here tonight to testify. And I think it would be unrealistic for you to not consider having those folks' input heard. Because, you know, every lawsuit

in the state has been lost when it came to 1 something dealing with desegregation or 2 integration. We have lost every one of them. 3 I made this argument on the House floor. I 4 noticed that in -- just now, recently, you have 5 got to pay about \$10 or \$12 million 6 7 to attorneys who brought the lawsuit 8 challenging the way we fund a small school district. We will be tired of lawsuits, 9 10 because we are losing them and losing them and 11 we are spending money that the poor people of this state could use. 12 13 So, I ask you -- no, I implore you to keep 14 an open mind and remember what got us in this 15 predicament, and it hasn't changed, and you have to consider that. Thank you for listening 16 17 to me. 18 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: And before 19 you leave, let me ask for the record, how many 20 years did you serve in the Arkansas House of 21 Representatives? 22 MR. BEN McGEE: 12. 23 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 12. 24 also, Turrell and Gilmore is up here in this 25 northern part?

1	MR. BEN McGEE: That is right.
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: And this
3	House is 55?
4	MR. BEN McGEE: 55.
5	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: And you
6	would like to see it in 54?
7	MR. BEN McGEE: Yes. It should be. Well,
8	it should you should include it. See, it is
9	not even realistic to go to Cross County for
10	House District 54, and I will tell you why. No
11	commonality. The people in Parkin and Wynne go
12	to Wynne for everything. They have absolutely
13	nothing to do with our county in a large scale.
14	And I would venture to say that 95 percent of
15	those people bank in Cross County.
16	They have no relationship with Crittenden
17	County, socially, culturally, or educationally.
18	They have nothing in common. And it is
19	important that we take in the rest of the
20	county. We have got three distressed school
21	districts in Crittenden County. It is Earle,
22	Earle and Crawfordsville. Probably Earle might
23	be off now. But that is the commonality that
24	we have in the county, educationally, socially.
25	The people the people there are people

in Earle that go to church in West Memphis, a lot of them. There are people in West Memphis and Marion go to church in Earle.

It is just a lot in common. And that should be kept together where possible. And I think you could avoid a lot of hard feelings if you would keep it together. I think it is important. And I think as we grow and mature, maybe in the next ten years when we come back, maybe it is time to look at color-blind districts, but it is not time right now, I will be honest with you. I wish I could say differently, but it is not. And I think in your hearts you know that. I don't have to tell you that. And I think we have to look at that. Thank you, sir.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thank you.

Let me also say something just for the audience to understand. And I think it stands to reason, but I am not sure, I don't know if everyone has thought this through. But it is hard, our options are limited in redrawing these when the district is up against another state. So, Tennessee, Mississippi, Missouri, there are just not that many places to go.

If you are talking about something here in Central Arkansas, you have got a lot -- you have got all four sides of the district as options to mold that clay with. But when you get along this river and along the other borders of the state, I am just throwing that out for you all's thoughts, that it gets increasingly difficult to divide sometimes. Who else has something to say? Yes, ma'am. MS. HOLLY BAXTER: I am Holly Baxter, I am from Forrest City, and I am an American Government -- I am not an actress. MR. REEVES: Why don't you just hold it? You can just hold it. There you go. MS. HOLLY BAXTER: I am an American Government teacher and I teach in Wynne. as we have been going through this, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLLY BAXTER: I am an American
Government teacher and I teach in Wynne. And
as we have been going through this, you know,
the teacher in me, I am here thinking, "This is
democracy in action." Can you imagine being
from other countries and trying to criticize
your elected officials and the people that have
tried to make up our voting districts? You
know, it is wonderful that we do have this
opportunity and the redistricting every
ten years.

Now, from the point of view of an American Government teacher, when I teach voting, voting is supposed to be simple. But I have heard all these horror stories about people trying to go vote. Well, they go to the wrong place because they live on the other side of the street or down wherever. And in my opinion -- and you need a woman's opinion every once in a while.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: I agree with that.

SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: I agree with that.

MS. HOLLY BAXTER: Voting should be easy, and it should not be intimidating. We should be able to be politically literate. And it would be much easier if we did leave St.

Francis County together, leave Forrest City together. Even for programs where we have our representative or a senator come to speak, when you have got your city or your school district divided into all these other little parts and you want to bring the representative in, well, you know, I know who my representative is, but if you live over there, you have got another

1	representative.
2	That confuses the kids. It confuses
3	the adults, because I have been at the voting
4	precincts when they said, "They don't even have
5	that guy on there I want to vote for and I have
6	been reading about him in the newspaper." So,
7	my message is to take into consideration
8	keeping your communities together. And I agree
9	with oh, I am really scared now, Senator
10	McGee, Representative?
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR:
12	Representative.
13	MS. HOLLY BAXTER: Honorable Mr. McGee,
14	that the commonality factors, you know, we want
15	to be on the same team, we are in the same
16	community, same school, whatever, churches.
17	And if we can talk about problems and try to
18	solve them as a unit, as opposed to being
19	scattered, we need as much power as we can get
20	over here in Eastern Arkansas. We need to
21	communicate, and we need to work together.
22	Thank you.
23	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thank
24	you.
25	MS. JOYCE GRAY: Good evening. My name is

1	Joyce Gray, I am a registered voter of
2	Crittenden County. And I have a couple of
3	questions. My thanks. Your plan does not
4	include to provide us information on the voting
5	age over 18. Secondly, it doesn't give us the
6	detail of what precincts are in the plan.
7	Thirdly, there is three factors that the court
8	determined in forming the districts, one was
9	polarization of any race, secondly, cracking
10	communities of interest, and third, packing,
11	joining whites too many whites in one
12	district.
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: On the
14	to answer your questions. On the computer
15	model, we have all of that information. We
16	would be glad to pull it up for you. Do
17	you want to see the voting age?
18	MS. JOYCE GRAY: Yes.
19	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Which
20	district, or how districts did you want to
21	see?
22	MS. JOYCE GRAY: District 22.
23	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: District 22?
24	MS. JOYCE GRAY: Senate District 22.
25	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: Senate 22?

1	MS. JOYCE GRAY: Well, all districts
2	in 22.
3	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: That is Senate
4	District 16.
5	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: 16.
6	MS. JOYCE GRAY: 16.
7	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: The new
8	proposed draft 16?
9	MR. OLAN REEVES: The numbers we
10	considered and the numbers that are on
11	this chart is total population. That is that
12	we used under the Constitution to draw these
13	districts. So, voting age population is
14	something you can have, but it is not something
15	we used in drawing the districts. We only used
16	the total population. So, if you have a bunch
17	of little kids, they are still counted in here.
18	That is why inmates are counted, because they
19	are people and they live in that district.
20	Voting age is not something that we
21	take into consideration. We do straight
22	numbers. We can give you the precincts, too.
23	We just didn't do them for the draft plan
24	because the plans aren't final. And if we send
25	the precincts out and people think that is it,

1	they will think they are in a district, and we
2	may change it and they are not. So, we didn't
3	give the precincts out, even to the clerk, I
4	don't think.
5	MR. LARRY CRANE: Where we were asked for
6	precinct information, we did furnish it to
7	them.
8	MR. OLAN REEVES: Yes. If we were
9	asked by specific people, we gave them to them,
10	and we were asked sometimes.
11	MS. JOYCE GRAY: But we can get that
12	information?
13	MR. LARRY CRANE: Yes, absolutely.
14	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: Yes.
15	MR. OLAN REEVES: Yes, you can, sure.
16	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: And in fact,
17	after we finish here
18	MR. OLAN REEVES: We can show you.
19	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Yes. If we
20	want to maybe do so more one-on-one time so we
21	don't take everybody's time
22	MR. OLAN REEVES: Sure.
23	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: to show
24	whoever wants to see precinct information
25	and all of the details of our software, it is

totally open to the public.

MR. LEO DANJO: I am Leo Danjo from Wynne, and I am a representative in District 90. And I have to rise to agree with my mayor from Parkin, Eda Claire, it was right on target, and with Ben McGee, what he said was right on target, that Parkin is totally connected to Wynne.

And I was county judge before I was State
Representative. And when I ran for county
judge, Eda Claire and all of that bunch from
Parkin said, "Well, now, listen. Is Parkin
going to be a part of Cross County or are you
just going to be a Wynne judge?" So, I have
got to get up here and defend keeping Parkin in
Cross

County.

And if that will work, Larry, you know, I compliment your staff for the work they have done, they have worked very hard for me trying to get these things done. And if I have the numbers correct, if District 90 was totally Cross County and totally Woodruff County, like I have Woodruff County in totality on the new plan, that would put me at 4.7 something on the

plus side. So, that district would be okay.

And I believe, I tried to look at the numbers on Kevin Goss and Steve Jones, and I think with just a little bit of shuffling, they would -- I think Kevin and Steve would lack about 14, or something like that, being up with enough population. And if that would work -- we never looked at that possibility, Larry, when we were looking at that thing, but I believe that you might be able to do that by doing what Ben said, going up and taking Gilmore and Turrell, and then giving -- giving me back -- in District 57, give me back all of Cross County, and leave Woodruff County just like it is.

Those numbers are going to be so close that I believe you can make those work. And that involves, also, Representative Eason, which you have already done, you have already taken some of his district to give to Representative Jones, but I believe that would work. And if it would, what I would -- I would like to ask you to do that.

And I know that you have worked very hard and changed that thing a bunch of times.

And these people don't know how much time you all have spent on it, and you have really worked, and I appreciate that. But if you could do that, I would be the happiest representative in the House of Representatives. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thank you.

SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: Now, if we could just make the other 99 happy.

MR. JOE BAKER: My name is Joe Baker, I am from West Memphis. First of all, attempts at unity and finding a reasonable solution are always to be applauded, of course. When we can work together above our partisan preference, above our religious preference, above our racial identification, Arkansas wins.

I think it is noteworthy that we have, for 20 years, been looking for solutions to poverty in the delta. The fractionalization of the delta politically has a bearing on the fractionalization of the economics of the delta, and I think we need to keep that in mind. And I know that you all heard from the folks in Randolph and Lawrence County earlier in the day and you have heard from plenty of people here saying, "Let's try to minimize the

fractionalization of counties." I wholeheartedly believe in that.

Frank Barton and others have referred to voting machine problems, voter confusion, and so forth, and I will not go back to that again. I agree with Ben McGee's statement that Turrell and Gilmore need to be a part of Crittenden County. They are.

I think that, you know, one measure, and one manifestation of the political fracturing of Eastern Arkansas is, you can look at Western Arkansas where you have generally a better level of economic progress and social progress, and you can see, I think, less fractionalization of the counties than you do in Eastern Arkansas. And gerrymandering, whether you are a history teacher or whatever your role may be, gerrymandering is always problematic and subject to condemnation.

I note that when I went to the State
Capitol Building, the fractionalization of
Crittenden County is so bad that, you know, you
go in and you see a picture of Johnson County,
where I used to live, and you see pictures of
other sections of Arkansas in the cafeteria

1	down in the basement. You don't see a picture
2	of West Memphis, you don't see a picture of a
3	lot of these counties that are fractionalized.
4	And you might say, "So what?" But I think it
5	is a manifestation of the political fracturing
6	that we have been through.
7	I substantially endorse the comments
8	of Frank Barton on the Senate districts.
9	I endorse the comments of trying to make
10	a minimum number or trying to give
11	Crittenden County a more cohesive political
12	vote in Little Rock to the House districts. And
13	I would like at this point, if it is
14	permissible, just for your edification, to ask
15	the folks who are in substantial support of the
16	comments offered by Frank Barton to raise your
17	hands.
18	AUDIENCE: (Indicating.)
19	MS. JOE BAKER: Thank you very much.
20	MR. REEVES: Anybody else? Representative
21	Jones?
22	MR. STEVE JONES: Thank you, Butch. It is
23	good to see you, General, Secretary Priest. I
24	want to first comment on your staff. They have
25	been excellent to work with, they have been

pretty forthright when you have asked for information. And I just want to tell you I know that it is a tough position that they have. I went to -- one reason I am here right now is just to comment on the district, the issue that Representative Danjo raised affecting 54 House District. And actually, former Representative Ben McGee spoke to it also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have spoken with one of the mayors in one two of the towns affected, Turrell and Gilmore and the school superintendent. And while they are pleased with the representation, I mean, Representative Goss has done a good job, most of what they have in common is Crittenden County. And I have spoken with them, and you have heard from the mayor of Parkin here this evening, and I am sure that --I want to tell you, I would do a good job of representing you if I was re-elected, but Turrell and Gilmore have a lot more in common with the people who are in existing District 54 than those who are in Parkin. And I just want to tell you that I hope you would consider that alteration, also. Thank you.

1	ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thank you.
2	MR. OLAN REEVES: Thank you. Okay.
3	We appreciate you being here. Mr. Pryor
4	and Ms. Priest have a few closing comments.
5	But like they said earlier, we hope to have a
6	final plan out by Labor Day, so that we can get
7	it going.
8	MR. JOSEPH PERRY, JR.: What is the
9	deadline for submitting proposals to the
10	Board?
11	MR. OLAN REEVES: You can submit them from
12	now to Labor Day. Keep going.
13	MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: But as soon as
14	possible, please.
15	MR. OLAN REEVES: Yeah.
16	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: Are there going to
17	be some more hearings where you can put input?
18	MR. OLAN REEVES: Yes. We are having
19	three hearings Thursday, Pine Bluff, El Dorado,
20	and Hope. Next Tuesday in Hot Springs, and
21	next Thursday in Little Rock.
22	UNIDENTIFIED MAN: No, I mean, in
23	this area?
24	MR. OLAN REEVES: No. We will not be back
25	to this town or this area. No, sir.

MR. LARRY BROWN: May I make a comment? 1 2 MR. OLAN REEVES: Sure, go ahead. MR. LARRY BROWN: My name is Larry Brown, 3 I am from here in Forrest City. My only concern 4 is, one, and it came up earlier, and I just 5 wanted it for the record, is that I need 6 7 something conclusive in regards to the prison 8 population, because your numbers can be bogus 9 with those prisoners being counted, as 10 Mr. Perry said earlier, even down. You said it is on a sliding scale, 11 where does the scale start and where does the 12 13 scale stop? We need to have some definitive, so that mayors and city councils will be able 14 15 to say -- like in Forrest City, we have 2,200 federal prisons, it will make a difference in a 16 17 ward if we go out here -- our wards can be 18 somewhere around 3,500, 3,700 people per ward with the prison. So, we need to know and have 19 something in writing from someone that is 20 definitive so that we will know what way to go 21 22 and how to go. 23 The other thing is, is I appreciate 24 all your hard work. I helped draw maps

ten years ago, and I know is it a difficult

25

task and it is hard to make everybody happy. I know you won't make everybody happy, you won't make me happy, and there is a lot of other people you won't make happy. All I can say is, try to do the best that you can. And as I look at it, we can say gerrymandering here, gerrymandering there. But as I look at the Senate districts, all of them are all over the place.

So, I know you can find out -- and I know how difficult it is. Just keep up the good work. Try to use good common sense and do a good job, House districts and Senate districts, and take into respect whatever the law is, and try to make sure that you apply that. And I think we will all, you know, be able to deal with it. But it is not going to be a way to make me happy or make people from Marion or Crittenden County happy. Just do whatever you can to make it right.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Mr. Brown, thank you for saying that. Let me give you a couple of thoughts. That Tim Humphries has kind of offered his unofficial opinion, I guess, and then without the benefit of having,

you know, to refresh his memory on how the sliding scale works when it comes to drawing the city lines, et cetera, but let me suggest that you may want to check in with the Municipal League, because they -- this is totally in their bailiwick, and probably, they will be glad to give you an opinion.

Another thought would be to ask the Attorney General for an opinion, an official Attorney General's opinion. You can have one of our State Representatives do that, and we would be glad to try to give you some official guidance on that. And we do that kind of thing a lot. So, that might be better coming from the Attorney General's office, rather than the Apportionment Board, because that seems to be more in our line of work. Thank you.

MS. RUTH TRENT: My name Ruth Trent, and I am the county clerk at Crittenden County. And from -- if we do have to split up a town or something, I would ask you that you please try to consider at least the precincts, because we have got one precinct in West Memphis that you will only go two or three streets and there is a different Senate, a different JP, I mean,

a different rep district. And it is like four 1 or five combinations, you know, on ballots, on 2 election ballots. And it does create an awful 3 lot of confusion. 4 5 You know, we have got these books and you have got yellow lines everywhere and 6 7 everything, and people do get very confused. 8 So, please try to consider to keep it as simple as you can, because if -- you know, I don't 9 10 want to disenfranchise anybody. But I don't want the voters to be so confused on election 11 12 day that they don't have any idea. But they 13 do -- they really do get upset when they don't know where to go to vote. 14 Thank you. 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: Thank you. 16 SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: Anybody 17 else? 18 AUDIENCE: (No response.) 19 SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: Just as closing comments, let me -- the 20 21 question was asked earlier where people can get 22 information by precinct or by census track. 23 That information is available from the 24 State Data Center at UALR, and they do have a 25 website, and I believe that information is on

their website. The other thing is, I know that 1 2 counties and cities have been using -- the question was asked something about the 3 software. 4 We have made the software available, 5 and we have three people who are working with 6 7 cities and counties to help with JP and wards, 8 drawing those new districts. So, we are making that available to people. We also have, at 9 10 several of the universities -- and somebody 11 help me, I know Fayetteville. 12 MR. OLAN REEVES: UALR. 13 MR. TIM HUMPHRIES: UALR. SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: 14 15 is now Fayetteville and UALR. We did have several other universities that were involved 16 and had the --17 18 UNIDENTIFIED MAN: There are also some 19 private firms that do that. SECRETARY OF STATE SHARON PRIEST: 20 Α 21 little plug for the private firms that do that. 22 But the hardware -- or software that we 23 are using is ArkView and autoBound. And it may 24 be expensive for a county or a city to buy, but we do have it and we have made it available for 25

counties and cities in the reapportionment office. And I guess, again, I have to reiterate that these lines are not drawn in concrete. This is a draft plan that was presented. We had to get the plan out there to try to meet the timetable, or this is something that could just go on and on and on.

And frankly, the three of us who serve on the Board of Apportionment are elected. It is our goal to make as many people as happy as we can, not the other way around. We -- I mean, we have worked to that end. That is the instruction that we have given our staff. And that is why they have spent hours and hours and hours working with legislators and county judges and mayors and citizens who have been interested. They have spent hours doing that so that we can try to please as many people as possible in the process. That is our goal.

I can tell you, we will take your suggestions, we will go back to the drawing board. We don't feel that we have a monopoly on good ideas. We also are aware that there are some ideas that we maybe haven't thought of or haven't been brought to our attention. Or

sometimes you just get to looking at it and you can't see where else things can go. That is why we are having these public hearings, that is why it is important you were here tonight.

And that is why it is important that you participated tonight.

So, I would like to thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to be here and to participate in this wonderful state that we have, and this wonderful democracy, that we are one of the very few countries that have it. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK PRYOR: I want to thank you all for being here. I promise you, we are listening, and I don't ever want you all to think that we are not. A lot of good suggestions here, a lot of information we have to take back and process and work through, and look at other districts around the state and see what we can do, but certainly good information, good input.

We appreciate you all being here. Frankly, this is hard work and this is a task that is not easy and it is not pleasant, because we have to make hard decisions all the time on

1	these maps, but the reason we are doing it is
2	for you and for our democracy to work. And I
3	appreciate all of you all's input, I appreciate
4	all of your time and your attention. And I
5	just appreciate that you are here and that you
6	cared enough to come out and take a few hours
7	out of your busy schedules to be here, because
8	it is important.
9	And I just want to thank you very much for
10	having us. Thank you for the college here
11	hosting us. We appreciate it. Very nice
12	facility. And with that, the meeting is
13	adjourned. Thank you.
14	(WHEREUPON, at 7:35 p.m., the taking
15	of the above-entitled proceeding was
16	concluded.)
17	0
18	SIGN-IN SHEETS.

			77
MAPS.			

FRANK G. BARTON ATTACHMENT.

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF ARKANSAS)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF PULASKI)

I, DONNA K. PETRE, Certified Court

Reporter and notary public in and for the County of

Pulaski, State of Arkansas, duly commissioned and acting,

do hereby certify that the above-entitled proceedings

were taken by me in Stenotype, and were thereafter

reduced to print by means of computer-assisted

transcription, and the same truly, and correctly reflects

the proceedings had.

WHEREFORE, I have subscribed my signature and affixed my notarial seal as such notary public at the City of Little Rock, County of Pulaski, State of Arkansas, this the 26th day of July, 2001.

DONNA K. PETRE, CCR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS LS CERTIFICATE #125

My Commission Expires:
August 4, 2010.

---0---